1	Patrick J. Reilly Nevada Bar No. 6103		
2	preilly@bhfs.com		
3	Arthur A. Zorio Nevada Bar No. 6547		
4	azorio@bhfs.com Emily Garnett		
5	(pro hac vice) egarnett@bhfs.com Eric D. Walther		
6	Nevada Bar No. 13611		
7	ewalther@bhfs.com BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK,	LLP	
8	100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106		
9	Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.382.8135		
10	Attorneys for Treasure Island, LLC		
11			
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
14	RICHARD GIBSON, and HERIBERTO VALIENTE,	Case No. 2:23-cv-00140-MMD-DJA	
15	Plaintiffs,	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'	
16		MOTION TO APPOINT INTERIM	
17	V.	CLASS COUNSEL	
18	MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, CENDYN GROUP, LLC, THE RAINMAKER		
19	GROUP UNLIMITED, INC., CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT INC., TREASURE		
20	ISLAND, LLC, WYNN RESORTS HOLDINGS, LLC,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	111		
24	111		
25	///		
26			
	///		
27	111		
27 28			

# Case 2:23-cv-00140-MMD-DJA Document 97 Filed 04/12/23 Page 2 of 7

100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600	Las Vegas, NV 89106	

Advinction HIAII FARBER SCHRECK, EN	100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600	20170 111 22 23
-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

Defendant Treasure Island, LLC ("Treasure Island"), by and through its counsel, hereby
opposes Plaintiffs' Motion To Appoint Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP And Panish Shea Boyle
Ravipudi LLP as Interim Class Counsel (the "Motion"). This Opposition is based on the attached
Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the papers and pleadings on file, and any oral argument
this Court may allow.

DATED this 12th day of April, 2023.

/s/ Patrick J. Reilly

Patrick J. Reilly Arthur A. Zorio Emily Garnett Eric D. Walther BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

Attorneys for Treasure Island, LLC

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPOINT INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL

The Motion should be denied. Rule 23(g)(3) provides that the "court may designate interim" counsel to act on behalf of a putative class before determining whether to certify the action as a class action." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(3). However, the Advisory Committee admonishes that interim class counsel should be appointed only "if necessary to protect the interests of the putative class." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, advisory committee note of 2003.

Necessity must be shown because an "attorney who acts on behalf of the class prior to certification, including the attorney who filed the action, must act in the best interests of the class as a whole, regardless of formal appointment as interim class counsel. Therefore, formal appointment of interim class counsel is not needed to assure the named plaintiffs' current attorney is obligated to act in the best interests of the class as a whole." 5 MOORE'S FEDERAL PRACTICE -CIVIL § 23.121 (2023); Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, advisory committee note of 2003.

"Although [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(3)] does not provide a standard for determining whether interim counsel should be appointed, courts that have construed it have relied on the Advisory Committee Notes accompanying the rule which limit its use to circumstances when interim counsel is necessary to protect the interests of the putative class." Jenkins v. Hyundai Motor Fin. Co., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23073, at \*10, 2008 WL 781862, at \*3 (S.D. Ohio Mar. 24, 2008) (quotation and citations omitted). Interim counsel is only necessary where "there may be rivalry or uncertainty that makes formal designation of interim counsel appropriate." Fed R. Civ. P. 23(g), advisory committee note of 2003. See White v. TransUnion, LLC, 239 F.R.D. 681, 683 (C.D. Cal. 2006) ("[T]hose cases in which interim counsel is appointed are typically those in which a large number of putative class actions have been consolidated or are otherwise pending before a single court"). An interim appointment might also be necessary where there are "duplicative and unproductive posturing by the competing attorneys" that are asking to be appointed interim counsel. 5 MOORE'S FEDERAL PRACTICE - CIVIL § 23.121. Interim counsel may also be necessary where

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Treasure Island takes no position at this time on the other arguments made in the Motion, and reserves all rights. For the purposes of this opposition, it is sufficient to raise the lack of necessity for appointment of interim counsel.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"there are a number of overlapping, duplicative, or competing suits pending in other courts" because "designation of interim counsel clarifies responsibility for protecting the interests of the class during precertification activities, such as making and responding to motions, conducting any necessary discovery, moving for class certification, and negotiating settlement." ANN. MANUAL COMPLEX LIT. § 21.11 (4th ed. 2022).

In this matter, there is a single case pending before a court and only one counsel seeking appointment as interim class counsel. In such cases, courts have found it unnecessary to appoint interim class counsel. See In re Seagate Tech. LLC Litig., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80741, at \*7, WL 3401989, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. June 21, 2016) ("Where there are no competing lawsuits or firms, courts in this district have been unwilling to appoint interim class counsel" (collecting cases)); see also Burns v. Navistar, Inc., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18147, at \*\*7-8 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 24, 2011) (finding "no compelling reason" to appoint interim class counsel despite pendency of a similar action in another district because "[t]here is but one case now before the Court, and there is little confusion that it is the responsibility of [movant's counsel] to protect the interests of the putative class"); Nutz for Candy v. Ganz, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 79340, at \*4, 2008 WL 4332532 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 19, 2008) (rejecting motion for appointment of interim counsel where the court had "a single action and a single firm seeking to be appointed interim lead counsel," despite pendency of similar cases in other Districts that had not been consolidated before the court because "at this time the responsibility for protecting the interests of the class in this Court is clear."); Parrish v. National Football League Players Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 43732, at \*24, 2007 WL1624601, at \*9 (N.D. Cal. Jun. 4, 2007) (appointment unnecessary because "there is a single action and a single law firm who has moved to be appointed," "[t]here are not multiple complaints, nor is there a gaggle of law firms jockeying to be appointed" and "[n]o consolidation with other actions is on the horizon"); see also Evans v. ZB, N.A., 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 207399, at \*2, 2020 WL 6526245, at \*1 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 2020) (denying motion for interim counsel where there was "no rivalry ... among competing law firms to represent the putative class").

Plaintiffs do not attempt to show that appointing interim class counsel is necessary to protect the putative class's interests. Instead, the Motion merely describes the putative qualification of the

## Case 2:23-cv-00140-MMD-DJA Document 97 Filed 04/12/23 Page 5 of 7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

lawyers that filed the Complaint.	Plaintiffs also	fail to provide any	y evidence that there	e would be
any prejudice suffered if the Motion	on is denied.			

THEREFORE, This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion and "wait to consider the adequacy of representation and appointment of class counsel until such time as [Plaintiffs] move for class certification." *Evans v. ZB, N.A.*, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 207399, \*6, 2020 WL 6526245, at \*2.

DATED this 12th day of April, 2023.

/s/ Patrick J. Reilly
Patrick J. Reilly

Arthur A. Zorio
Emily Garnett
Eric D. Walther
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600

Attorneys for Treasure Island, LLC

Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

28

### 1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), and Section IV of District of Nevada Electronic Filing 3 Procedures, I certify that I am an employee of BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, 4 LLP, and that the foregoing TREASURE ISLAND, LLC'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' 5 MOTION TO APPOINT INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL was served via electronic service on 6 April 12, 2023, to all parties on the CM/ECF service list. 7 Sammuel B. Auld Steve W. Berman Boris Bershteyn Stephanie A. Verdoia 8 Michael Harmon Menitove Abby R. Wolf Kenneth B. Schwartz Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 9 1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000 Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP One Manhattan West Seattle, WA 98101 10 New York, NY 10001 Stephaniev@hbsslaw.com Sam.auld@skadden.com steve@hbsslaw.com 11 Boris.bershteyn@skadden.com abbyw.@hbsslaw.com Michael.menitove@skadden.com 12 Ken.schwartz@skadden.com Adam Ellis Rahul Ravipudi 13 Adam Hosmer-Henner Ian P. Samson McDonald Carano LLP Panish Shea Boyle Ravipudi LLP 14 100 W. Liberty St., 10<sup>th</sup> Floor 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 710 Reno, NV 89501 Las Vegas, NV 89101 15 ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com ellis@psblaw.com ravipudi@psblaw.com 16 Attorney for Caesar's Entertainment, Inc. samson@psblaw.com 17 Margarita Elias Brian Joseph Panish Nicholas J. Santoro Panish Shea Boyle Ravipudi LLP 18 Holley Driggs 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1600 11111 Santa Monica Bl., Ste 700 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Las Vegas, NV 89101 19 bpanish@psbr.law melias@nevadafirm.com 20 nsantoro@nevadafirm.com Rio S. Pierce Hannah K. Song 21 Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP Alicia Rubio-Spring Goodwin Procter LLP 715 Hearst Ave., Suite 202 22 100 Northern Avenue Berkeley, CA 94710 riop@hbsslaw.com Boston, MA 02210 23 hannahso@hbsslaw.com arudio@goodwinprocter.com 24 Attorney for Haliberto Valiente and Richard Attorney for The Rainmaker Unlimited, Inc. Gibson 25 26

- 1	
1	Bradley T. Austin
2	Patrick G. Bryne Snell & Wilmer LLP
3	3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169
	baustin@swlaw.com
4	Lenora Cohen
5	Mark Holscher Tammy A. Tsoumas
6	Kirkland & Ellis LLP
7	2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90067
8	Mark.holscher@kirkland.com Ttsoumas@kirkland.com
	Matthew Solum
9	Kirkland & Ellis LLP 601 Lexington Avenue
10	New York, NY 10022 Matthew.solum@kirkland.com
11	
12	Attorneys for Wynn Resorts Holdings, LLC
13	Sadik Huseny
14	Brendan A. McShane Latham & Watkins LLP
15	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111
	Sadik.huseny@lw.com
16	Brendan.mcshane@lw.com
17	Anna M. Rathbun Latham & Watkins LLP
18	555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
19	Washington, DC 20004 Anna.rathbun@lw.com
20	J. Colby Williams
21	Campbell & Williams 710 South Seventh Street, Suite A
	Las Vegas, NV 89101
22	Jcw@cwlawlv.com
23	Attorney for CENDYN Group, LLP
24	
25	
26	
27	/s/ M Wen

Todd L. Bice Brianna Smith Pisanelli Bice PLLC 400 South 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 lit@pisanellibice.com bgs@pisanellibice.com

Bethany Woodard Kristovich Kyle W. Mach Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP 350 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 50<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Benthany.kristovich@mto.com Kyle.mach@mto.com

Justin P. Raphael
Juliana M. Yee
Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP
560 Mission Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
<u>Justin.raphael@mto.com</u>
<u>Juliana.yee@mto.com</u>

Attorney for MGM Resorts International

/s/ Wendy Cosby

Wendy Cosby, an employee of BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP